

## Excercise 7

# Concurrency Theory

Stephan Spengler

Johannes Freiermuth

January 18, 2018

## 2 Bounded CSM vs. NFA

### a) NFA simulates a bounded CSM

Let the CSM be formed out of the machines  $M_1$  to  $M_N$ .  $\Sigma$  is the set of messages. The channels are bounded by  $k$ .

Let  $W_k = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq k} \Sigma^i$  be the set of all words over  $\Sigma$  up to a length  $k$ .

Let  $R = (Q, A, \Delta, s, F)$  be the resulting NFA. In the states  $Q$  we have to decode the state of all  $N$  machines as well their  $N$  channels. Thus

$$Q = \{(C_1, \dots, C_N, s_1, \dots, s_N) \mid C_i \in W_k \wedge s_i \in S_i\} = W_k^N \times S_1 \times \dots \times S_N.$$

The alphabet  $A = N \times ((N \times \{!\}) \times \Sigma) \cup (\{?\} \times \Sigma)$  decodes the sending of receiving messages. Additionally the very first component stores who sended/received.

Let us look at any transition  $t = A \xrightarrow{M_j!a} B \in \Delta_i$  in Machine  $M_i$ : We have to take every possible content of each channel into account. Then we have to ensure that states  $s_i$  changes from  $A$  to  $B$  while all the other stay unchanged. But here we again have to take every possible state into account, i.e.  $s_l$  might be any in  $S_l$ , for every  $l$ . Finally we have to add a  $a \in \Sigma$  to the channel of  $M_j$ , namely  $C'_j$ . Since we have to ensure that  $a$  fits into the channel  $C_j \in W_{k-1}$  this time. Formally we get those transitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(i, A, B, M_j!a) &= \left\{ (C_1, \dots, C_N, s_1, \dots, s_N) \xrightarrow{i,j!a} (C'_1, \dots, C'_N, s'_1, \dots, s'_N) \mid * \right\} \\ * &\iff \forall_{l \neq j} C_l = C'_l \in W_k \\ &\quad \wedge \forall_{l \neq i} s_l = s'_l \in S_l \\ &\quad \wedge C_j \in W_{k-1} \\ &\quad \wedge C'_j = C_j \cdot a \\ &\quad \wedge s_i = A \\ &\quad \wedge s'_i = B \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have to look at receiving transitions  $t = A \xrightarrow{?a} B \in \Delta_i$  in Machine  $M_i$ : Here we have to remove a  $a \in \Sigma$  from  $C_i$ . Formally we get those transitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(i, A, B, ?a) &= \left\{ (C_1, \dots, C_N, s_1, \dots, s_N) \xrightarrow{i:?a} (C'_1, \dots, C'_N, s'_1, \dots, s'_N) \mid * \right\} \\ * &\iff \forall_{l \neq i} C_l = C'_l \in W_k \\ &\quad \wedge \forall_{l \neq i} s_l = s'_l \in S_l \\ &\quad \wedge C'_i \in W_{k-1} \\ &\quad \wedge C_i = C'_i \cdot a \\ &\quad \wedge s_i = A \\ &\quad \wedge s'_i = B \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use those  $\delta$  to define  $\Delta$ , the transitions of  $R$ , as union over all of these:

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq N} \bigcup_{A \xrightarrow{m} B \in \Delta_i} \delta(i, A, B, m).$$

If some  $M_i$  can reach some state  $s_i \in S_i$  this corresponds to at least one state in

$$F_{i,s_i} = \{q \in Q \mid q = (C_1, \dots, C_N, s'_1, \dots, s'_N) \wedge s'_i = s_i\}$$

being reachable.

$$\begin{aligned} |Q| &= |W_k|^N \cdot \prod |S_i| \\ &\leq |W_k|^N \cdot m^N \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i \leq k} |\Sigma|^i \right)^N \cdot m^N \\ &\leq \left( \frac{|\Sigma|^{k+1} - 1}{|\Sigma| - 1} \cdot m \right)^N \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

## b) Bounded CSM simulates a NFA

This direction is very simple. We only need one Machine  $M$ . The bound  $k = 1$  is tight. The idea is that  $M$  basically is able to do anything the NFA  $R = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$  can do. Any transition in  $R$  will corresponds to 2 consecutive transitions in  $M$ . First he sends something to himself just to receive it immediately.  $k$  being tight, i.e. 1, ensures that only one of  $R$ 's transitions can be simulated at a time.

$A \xrightarrow{a} B$  becomes  $A \xrightarrow{M!a} A' \xrightarrow{?a} B$ .

The states of  $M$  are  $S = Q \cup Q'$ , where  $Q' = \{q' \mid q \in Q\}$  is a set of *marked* states. The transitions of  $M$  are

$$\Delta = \left\{ A \xrightarrow{M!a} A' \mid t = A \xrightarrow{a} B \in \delta \right\} \cup \left\{ A' \xrightarrow{?a} B \mid t = A \xrightarrow{a} B \in \delta \right\}.$$

If  $R$  can reach state  $f \in Q$  using some transitions  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  (where  $t_i = s_{i-1} \xrightarrow{a_i} s_i$ ) we can do  $s_0 \xrightarrow{M!a_1} s'_0 \xrightarrow{?a_1} s_1 \dots s'_{k-1} \xrightarrow{?a_k} f$  analogously in  $M$ .

$|\Sigma|$  cannot be expressed in terms of  $|Q|$  since the number of messages is exactly the alphabet size of  $R$ , which is unrelated to  $|Q|$ . There might be  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  messages while there is only 1 state, or the other way round.

$$N(Q) = k(Q) = 1$$

$$m(Q) = 2|Q|.$$